FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.

601 Thirteenth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005

Frederick P. Fish 1855-1930

Telephone 202 783-5070

W.K. Richardson 1859-1951

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Facsimile 202 783-2331

August 24, 1998

BY HAND DELIVERY

RECEIVED

Ms. Magalie R. Salas Secretary Office of the Secretary Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W.

AUG 24 1998 FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

BOSTON

Room 222

HOUSTON

Washington, D.C. 20554

NEW YORK SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

Re:

1998 Biennial Regulatory Review -- Amendment of Part 18 of the

SILICON VALLEY

Commission's Rules to Update Regulations for RF Lighting Devices (ET

TWIN CITIES

Docket No. 98-42)

WASHINGTON, DC

Dear Ms. Salas:

Enclosed please find an original and four (4) copies of Reply Comments submitted on behalf of Fusion UV Systems in the above-captioned proceeding. If you have any questions or concerns regarding this filing please contact me directly.

Very truly yours,

DXM

Enclosures

cc: Mr. Peter Toch, Fusion UV Systems

Our File: 08145/006001

90057.W11

No. of Cooles rec'd BHABCOE

RECEIVED

Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

AUG 24 1998

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

In the Matter of)			
)			
1998 Biennial Regulatory Review)			
Amendment of Part 18 of the)	ET Docket 1	No.	98-42
Commission's Rules to Update)			
Regulations for RF Lighting Devices)			

To: The Commission

REPLY COMMENTS OF FUSION UV SYSTEMS, INC.

Fusion UV Systems, Inc. (Fusion UV), by counsel, hereby submits these reply comments in response to the Commission's Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, FCC 98-53 (released April 9, 1998) (NPRM). As a leading manufacturer of ISM equipment operating in the 2.45 GHz ISM band, Fusion UV has a stake in the outcome of this proceeding.

COMMENTS

Fusion UV is the world leader in the research, development and production of microwave-powered, ultraviolet lighting sources used in the processing of most of the world's fiber optics and CD ROM discs and much of the world's automotive optical products.

Fusion UV lamps are also used in semiconductor chip production, motor armature balancing, ingredient sterilization, labeling and packaging, adhesives, pipe coating, no-wax flooring and furniture

manufacturing, to name just a few of the myriad ISM applications that touch, directly or indirectly, virtually everyone.

Fusion UV's lighting sources are energized by industrial grade magnetrons that operate in the internationally-recognized 2.45 GHz ISM band. It is only through use of this entire ISM spectrum that Fusion UV's lighting technology can achieve the superior spectral properties and fast start-up that sets its products apart from non-ISM processing technologies.

As a leading manufacturer of ISM equipment, Fusion UV is very concerned by the attempts of various device manufacturers to steer the focus of this proceeding away from the development of emission limits and harmonization of RF lighting standards and toward the very narrow interests of the <u>unlicensed</u> device community, at the expense of ISM manufacturers and users. These parties falsely posit to the Commission that in-band limits need to be adopted to protect their interests, yet these are the same companies that willingly accepted their legally unprotected status when the ISM bands were first made available for Part 15 use. Once the Commission gives credence to these bogus requests, ISM usage in all ISM bands will be threatened and eventually targeted for similar assault.

The tactics being employed by the unlicensed device manufacturers are exactly what Fusion UV and other ISM manufacturers have repeatedly warned the Commission about since it first considered, and later expanded, unlicensed device activities in the ISM bands. In ET Docket No. 96-8, for

example, Fusion Systems urged the Commission <u>not</u> to permit inband operations of high power spread spectrum systems that are incompatible with ISM operations as such action would "only lead to pressures on ISM manufacturers to limit operations or reduce their in-band requirements." The audacity of these manufacturers is starkly revealed by one of their trade groups, who actually cited Fusion Systems' remarks as justification for in-band protection. 2/

Under longstanding regulatory law and Commission policy,
Part 15 devices have no spectrum rights in any band. As spectrum
"squatters", these device manufacturers have no right to complain
that their capital investments are at risk or that the benefits
of their technology are unrealized. Such arguments, moreover,
ring particularly hollow when it comes to ISM, as ISM operations
have no other spectrum location in which to develop and deploy
their unique applications. Unless the Commission takes a firm
stance each and every time these false claims are raised, these
manufacturers will be encouraged to continue trying to chip away
at ISM in-band priority.

Fusion UV, therefore, urges the Commission to respond forcefully to the arguments raised in this proceeding by the Part 15 device manufacturers, and to make clear that in-band limits

^{1/} Fusion Systems comments in ET Docket 96-8, at 4.

^{2/} See Part 15 Coalition comments in ET Docket No. 98-42, at 3.

for ISM are not an issue in this or in any future proceedings.

Fusion UV further urges the Commission to move toward the goal of international harmonization of ISM standards for the benefit of ISM manufacturers and the public beneficiaries of ISM technologies.

Respectfully submitted

Keith A. Barritt, Esq. Fish & Richardson P.C. 601 13th Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005

Counsel for Fusion UV Systems, Inc.

August 24, 1998 89639.W11

Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of)	
1998 Biennial Regulatory Review	ý	
Amendment of Part 18 of the)	ET Docket No. 98-42
Commission's Rules to Update)	
Regulations for RF Lighting Devices)	

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby swears that on August 24, 1998, a copy of the foregoing REPLY COMMENTS OF FUSION UV SYSTEMS, INC. was deposited in the U.S. first class mail, postage prepaid, addressed to the following:

J.D. Hersey Jr.
Chief
Spectrum Management Division
U.S. Department of Transportation
United States Coast Guard
G-SCT-2
2100 2nd Street, SW
Washington, DC 20593-0001

Donald Zeifang Counsel to General Electric Company Baker & Hostetler LLP 1050 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 1100 Washington, DC 20036

Henry M. Rivera Larry S. Solomon Counsel to Metricom, Inc. SHOOK, HARDY & BACON LLP 1850 K Street, NW Suite 900 Washington, DC 20036 Timothy Feldman NEMA 1300 North 17 Street Suite 1847 Rosslyn, Virginia 22209

Lennie McMillian Vice President ADTRAN, Inc. 901 Exployer Blvd. Huntsville, AL 35806

Christopher D. Imlay Booth, Freret, Imlay & Tepper, P.C. 5101 Wisconsin Avenue, NW Suite 307 Washington, DC 20016-4120

Henrietta Wright
Mary J. Dent
W. Kenneth Ferree
Goldberg, Godles, Weiner & Wright
1229 Nineteenth Street, NW
Washington, DC 20036

Mitchell Lazarus Fletcher, Heald & Hildreth, P.L.C. 1300 North 17th Street, 11th Floor Arlington, Virginia 22209

Nadja S. Sodos Gurman Blask & Freedman, Chartered 1400 Sixteenth Street, NW Suite 500 Washington, DC 20036

Robert C. LaGasse, Executive Director International Microwave Power Institute 10210 Leatherleaf Court Manassas, Virginia 20111-4245

James T. Carlo Texas Instruments 9208 Heatherdale Drive Dallas, Texas 75234 Henry L. Baumann Jack N. Goodman Barry D. Umansky National Association of Broadcasters 1771 N Street, NW Washington, DC 20036

Richard E. Wiley
Carl R. Frank
Jennifer D. Wheatley
Wiley, Rein & Fielding
1776 K Street, NW
Washington, DC 20006-2304

Bruce D. Jacobs Stephen J. Berman Fisher Wayland Cooper Leader & Zaragoza L.L.P. 2001 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Suite 400 Washington, DC 20006

Donald I. Sloan
Senior Vice President of Engineering
Aironet Wireless Communications, Inc.
P.O. Box 5292
367 Ghent Road
Suite 300
Fairlawn, Ohio 44334-0292

Rosalind Fagelson

89639.w11